

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/498,559	02/04/2000	Eduard Sackinger	8962		
7.	590 06/30/2003				
	nistrator (RM 3C-512)	EXAMINER			
Lucent Technologies Inc 600 Mountain Avenue P O Box 636			LE, DINH THANH		
Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W.				
•	Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/498,559		SACKINGER, EDUARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	DINH T. LE		2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 /	<u> April 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is r	on-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 4.	03 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		1) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					



Application/Control Number: 09/498,559

Art Unit: 2816

FINAL REJCTION

Claims Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Vargha (US 6,069,516). Figures 1 and 2A-2D of Vargha discloses a circuit comprising a transistor (12, 112) and a charge pump (10, 110).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Vargha (US 6,069,516) in view of Ko et al. (US Pat. 6,028,496).

Figures 1 and 2A-2D of Vargha discloses a circuit comprising all of the limitations of the claimed invention as discussed above but does not disclose a resistor. Figure 2 of Ko et al



Application/Control Number: 09/498,559

Art Unit: 2816

teaches an active inductor comprising resistors (R2, R4, R6, R8) for protecting the transistors from a rush current from the voltage source (Vdd). It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the resistor taught by Ko et al in the circuit of Vargha for the purpose of protecting the transistor.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

The applicant argues that the circuit of Vargha does not operate as an inductor because the circuit of Vargha does not properly bias for correct mode of operation. The argument is not persuasive because there is nothing stated in the rejected claims how the transistor is properly biased for correct mode of the operation. As recited in the rejected claims, the claims recite that the gate of the transistor is coupled to a power supply voltage having an absolute value larger than the first power supply voltage. This limitation is shown in Figure 1 of Vargha.

The applicant argues that there is nothing stated in Ko et al reference that the resistors (R2, R4, R6, R8) of Ko et al are not used to protect the transistors and there is no motivation to combine the Ko et al reference with the Vargha reference. The arguments are not persuasive. As shown on Figure 2 of Ko et al, the bias portion 40 comprises the resistors (R1-R9) for providing predetermined bias voltages for the resistors. The resistors (R1, R3, R5, R7) are formed a voltage divider and the resistors (R2, R4, R6, R8) are used to reduce the number of bias pins, see column 3, lines 64-67 and column 4, lines 1-26. As well known in the art, the resistors (R2, R4, R6, R8) also protect the transistors because they are the current limiting means. Therefore, employing the bias resistors for biasing the transistors are suggested in the Ko et al reference as discussed above. Moreover, the fact that applicant has recognized another



Application/Control Number: 09/498,559

Art Unit: 2816

advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte*Obiava, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dinh Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-3790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M.to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Callahan, can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7725.

Art Unit: 2816

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DINHT.LE PRIMARY EXAMINER